Town of Scituate Conservation Commission Town Hall Selectmen's Hearing Room Meeting Minutes September 5, 2013

Meeting was called to order at 6:18 p.m.

Members Present: Mr. Snow, Chairman, Mr. Tufts, Ms. Scott-Pipes, Mr. Schmid, Mr. Harding, and Mr. Parys

Also Present: Patrick Gallivan, and Carol Logue, Secretary

Agenda: Motion to amend the agenda to include discussion of Minor Activities fees; possible orders for Farrington, 117 Turner Road; Daly, 161 Turner Road; and Ayers, 64 Moorland Road Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Schmid. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Mr. O'Donnell gave the Commission some property behind the Council On Aging. All he asked for was that a sign be put up stating "Old Firehouse Marsh Dedicated to those people past, present and future who have served the Town as members of the Scituate Fire Department". We received a quote of approximately \$140 from "Signs from Syd". The Senior Center was one of the original Fire Houses. Motion to pay and accept signage Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Tufts. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Farina, 12 Ocean Drive: meeting on site - Thursday or Friday next week, when he's available.

31 Candlewood Drive: Paul Shea has been involved with the property owner. Thought it was a good idea for Paul to go, owner hasn't responded to the letter sent to him.

Site Visit to 214 Clapp Road: Try to have 3 or 4 people the same day. Suggested Ms. Caisse cover Humarock.

Joel Carpenter, 49 Seaside Road was present to informally discuss the possibility of keeping the shed at 49 Seaside. Mr. Bang, previous owner, constructed a shed without permission. February 8, the day of storm the house was surrounded by water. We were able to see what happened in a very bad storm. Water flow was not redirected by the shed; it moved about 8" to 1'. Need for storage as there is none available in houses on pilings. Mr. Snow: not going to make a decision tonight; would have to file something. Ms. Scott-Pipes: one problem, they came in for a Certificate of Compliance on the house because they were closing, but the shed was not on the approved plans or ever mentioned. The board gave the Certificate with the agreement the shed would be removed. Mr. Gallivan: also there is the issue of materials being washed into the marsh. Will it cause problems to the abutters? Better to have a clear area, if possible. Mr. Snow: It is in a resource area. Mr. Parys: might be able to file a Request for Determination. Worst case would be a positive determination and you would need to file a Notice of Intent. How is the shed secured? Still could be denied when all is said and done.

Request for Determination: Barry/Tedeschi, 40 Curtis Street (soil tests)

Greg Morse from Morse Engineering was present at the hearing. Approximately a 9 acre lot; #40 is an existing house. Frontage is on Country Way and Whittier Drive; all upland area; majority is open corn field. Vegetation and soils were looked at in August 2012 & 2013. Conducting soil tests, but wanted to verify that there were no areas under the Commission's jurisdiction. Mr. Snow: unusual request. Concur with the wetland person; no resource areas and no buffers to resource area. Mr. Gallivan: walked the site and on the parcel itself, agrees with the letter, but not sure about the northern corner of the lot, believe it might be far enough off site, however, not confirming the flagging at this point; would like to verify before work is done. Field extends 50' off the property line. Ms. Scott-Pipes: hesitate to sign off without our own wetland specialist to check it out. Mr. Snow: it was a courtesy that they came in; first time we've been asked this. Could issue a negative determination for the soil tests, but may not want to confirm the wetland boundary. Mr. Schmid: are we saying go ahead and do the soil testing? Probably, if we agree there are no wetlands. Richard & Nancy Stower, 40 Whittier Drive: Lived here 21 years. What is an RDA? Mr. Snow explained both an RDA and ANRAD. The applicant is asking us to determine whether a wetland filing is required. If we give a positive determination they would have to file a Notice of Intent. If there is concern about water reserves does that have an impact? Does the fact that it is usable farm land impact on Commission's consideration? Mr. Snow: could be several things debated, but believe they have the right to do soil tests. Mr. Gallivan: agriculture is under Chapter 61A, Commission doesn't get involved. As abutters they were unaware of this meeting. Can we request notification of meetings? There is public notice in the paper, but no abutter notification for RDAs. Mr. Morse will stake the corners in the field and Mr. Gallivan will check. How are they going to access the field? Access is off Curtis, some testing has already been done. Motion for a negative 2 - "The work described in the Request is within an area subject to protection under the Act, but will not remove, fill, dredge, or alter that area. Therefore, said work does not require the filing of a Notice of Intent." Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Parys. Motion passed by unanimous vote. Maybe go to the piece along Whittier Drive. Give Frank a hollow.

Wetlands Hearing: Biviano, 6 Cliff Road (septic) (cont.)

Michael Biviano was present at the hearing. Sent to the state after being approved by Board of Health; state rejected because there was only 2.4" to the water table and they wanted a minimum of 3". Went back out and established the water table. Board of Health and DEP have now approved. It was just a formality with Board of Health. Moved slightly toward the ocean and the Town came down and marked out the water line. Septic was going to be very close to the water line. Moved the leaching area behind the existing septic tank instead of in front; driven by existing conditions, but not a big shift. Motion to close the hearing Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: Clark, Central Ave. (park boat trailers & floats on property) (cont.)

Russell Clark was present at the hearing and had requested a continuance, but was asked to come in and explain. Lenore White was unable to make this meeting. Mr. Gallivan: we have been asking for information for some time. The Commission can either vote to extend or deny, because of the delay. The wetland resources came in and they were wrong; information was missing. Ms. Scott-Pipes: haven't received a

Notice of Intent yet. Mr. Schmid: given the fact that Monday was a holiday, inclined to allow the continuance and hope we receive everything we need. Mr. Harding: OK with continuing. Received an e-mail from Lenore White saying she planned on attending the 16th. Mr. Tufts: it's like a game; a joke. Thrown off by the holiday? It should have been done months ago. Keith Jansen, 148 Central Ave. Immaterial, this is the 5th time the Commission has continued, it should be 3 strikes and you are out. We are asking you to deny; there has been enough time wasted. Richard Wood, 144 Central Ave.: old bylaw from when the property was sold to all of Humarock. No tents or trailers may be placed on site, no business, no outside toilets, no signs for advertising, no dwelling. It is a bylaw from the Town, a Restrictive Covenant. You people know his stuff isn't supposed to be there. Also the trailers are eye soars. Robert Branca, 164 Central: I think the frustration with the continuances and other issues has been substantially expressed. A little cement doesn't bother any neighbors, but Conservation puts the fear of God in them. Rearranged his schedule 3 times, very discouraged; remove what is there and start with the proper permit or deny. Just bothered by all this, but there is nothing personal against Mr. Clark. You people can tie someone up and frustrate the average citizen. Someone that is on a slippery slope goes on forever, but a homeowner is harassed. Mr. Snow: telling me this board is harassing people in Humarock? Everyone's frustrated. Don't want to discriminate against Mr. Clark, Just before this there was a filing that has been continued for several months, for a septic system in Humarock; we have plenty of hearings that are continued. Understand your frustration that the trailers are parked there, not trying to down play, but personally feel he should have the opportunity to do the right thing. There are plenty that do file and plenty that do not. Assume that the people here file when necessary. Would like to get this resolved. Humarock is a big part of our filings. Mr. Branca: Seemed that the last meeting had given him extra time to get everything in; don't want to come back again. Motion to close the hearing Ms. Scott-Pipes, Second Mr. Tufts, Motion did not pass, Since there aren't enough votes to close, is there a motion to continue? Mr. Gallivan: received an abbreviated NOI, asked for a full; can either vote to approve or deny. Mr. Clark can always go to DEP and appeal the decision. Keith Jansen, submittal should be in at least 10 days before the meeting; not the night before. Motion to deny the project under the Abbreviated Notice of Intent Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Tufts. Motion did not pass. Motion to continue to September 16, 2013 at 6:35 p.m. Mr. Schmid. Second with the understanding the only reason this is continued is because the schedule was screwed up; not giving any approval Mr. Parys. Ms. Scott-Pipes: at the last meeting it was continued to a date and time certain. Motion passed by a 4 to 2 vote. Mr. Gallivan: abutters should sign in. Mr. Snow: previously we heard from someone that had orders on a property and there was no mention of a shed, but at some point a shed was erected. They were passing papers and the Commission issued a Certificate with the agreement the shed would be removed. The person came back and would like to have a hearing regarding the shed. Believe the Commission deals with people fairly. Barbara Urbanski, 150 Central Ave. at the last meeting he was asked not to put anything more on the property, if something more does go on the property what shall we do? Let us know.

Mr. Ohrenberger: The Bylaws spoken of regarding nothing was allowed on the property expired after 30 years.

Wetlands Hearing: Gordon, Ocean Ave. (new build & septic) (cont.)

Greg Morse from Morse Engineering and Atty. Bill Ohrenberger were present at the hearing. After the last hearing the onsite drainage work on Ocean Ave. and Bailey's Causeway was approved by Al Bangert, DPW. At the site visit talked with a couple of the abutters and heard concerns from Mr. Thompson about some flooding within Ocean Ave. where there is a low point. Water comes in from Ocean Ave., Birch Lane and Bailey's Causeway; designed a new deep sump and put in a catch basin. Sump discharges into the wetlands by a new headwall. At the edge of Ocean Ave. proposing to install a Cape Cod Berm and rip rap to stabilize the bank if necessary to alleviate the puddling. Water is already going there, just directing it underground. At the entrance of the downstream culvert, installing a rip rap sediment trap before the pipe and cleaning out entrance pipe at the headwall. Feel cleaning and providing a sediment trap will enhance the wetland and buffer and in addition the total invasive species removal in the wetland and buffer with revegetation with native species is good mitigation. Additional drainage was the primary change. Ms. Scott-Pipes: also discussed the swale itself coming toward Bailey's Causeway it looked like there had been some digging. The roadway sediment would be removed and the whole area revegetated. Because you are in the 50' buffer, see some benefit to making the wetland functional, but it needs to be maintained. Brad and Greg will set up an operation and maintenance plan. Concern from Mark Thompson when all the vegetation is cleaned out he will lose his privacy. Janey Davidson, 32 Bailey's Causeway: when they clean out the culvert, where will the water go? Into the new catch basin then follows the Cape Cod Berm, all overland flow. Mr. Snow: Maintenance is a continuing condition. Any sound from the sump pump? No. Mr. Gallivan: DPW will maintain it is on town property. When the water goes under the Causeway will it continue to move, because it is sort of stagnant now, across from 34/36? Mr. Snow: Plymouth County Mosquito Control's priority is to get water moving, could talk to DPW too. Mark Thompson, 26 Bailey's Causeway: Put in a request to continue to the 16th. Over the last couple weeks have talked to Al Bangert, Kevin Cafferty about what the impacts of the drainage might be and hopefully see the whole package. What will happen with the planting and the wetland material? Hoping everything will work out. Mr. Ohrenberger has worked with Mark and his wife and will continue. Mr. Snow: If all the information is in then there is no reason to continue. Typically an abutter cannot request a continuance. Ms. Scott-Pipes: would like to revisit. Asking for wetland plants along the outer edge of the gully that are more than 2' tall. Highbush blueberry could get 5' or 6' over time. Mr. Snow: Maintenance agreement will follow with the property. If we can make the runoff cleaner before it hits the marsh that's a plus. Commission was asked to waive the no build 50' buffer, but there has to be a real compelling reason to do that. If the Commission feels that the enhancement and the filtering is enough mitigation, then we can condition, if not we could deny. Motion to close the hearing Mr. Parys. Second Mr. Harding with the proposed mitigation. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Mr. Snow questioned the bylaw mentioned at the Clark hearing. Mr. Ohrenberger: when Humarock was all laid out in 1928 there were all these private building descriptions, but by state law if a restriction is unlimited as to time, they expire after 30 years; 0 legal effect.

Wetlands Hearing: Sheehan, 15 Seagate Circle (elevate)

Paul Mirabito from Ross Engineering was present at the hearing. Abutters' notification was submitted. This is an existing single-family dwelling. Plan shows property outlined in yellow. Proposing to elevate the house using Federal grant money. Preliminary FEMA maps, flood elevation at 16', 1st floor at 17.5'. Wetlands flagged by Brad Holmes, proposing no changes in ground elevation. Submitted a copy of the existing elevation certificate and will submit the new one after elevated. Using concrete piers on a barrier beach. Mr. Schmid: perimeters staying the same? Yes. Mr. Gallivan: Excavating and removing concrete foundation? Yes. Use of silt sock should be put in the orders. Rosemary Dobie: don't know if a skirt is allowed, it is never clear. Rather they didn't, but they can. Motion to close the hearing Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: Whittle, 22 Indian Trail (septic repair)

Greg Morse from Morse Engineering was present at the hearing. Abutters' notification was submitted. Property line is shown in bold. This is a 3 bedroom dwelling. Plan shows BVW in blue, 50' buffer in red, 100' buffer in green, delineated July 2013. Existing septic is 55' from the

wetland. Installing a new 1500 gallon tank and a 1,000 gallon pump chamber and leaching field. Tank is required where placed to pick up the plumbing. There is an issue with the downspouts causing a pocket of water. Installing a crushed stone infiltration trench to handle some of the runoff and get it away from the foundation. Board of Heath has approved. Ms. Scott-Pipes: is there a reason the leaching field couldn't be moved 10' to get out of the 100? Trying to keep existing tree line and Japanese Maple. Mr. Parys: existing tank on plan, leaching is unknown? Yes. Motion to close the hearing Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Parys. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: Ayers, 64 Moorland Road (upper level deck/1 st floor addition)

Paul Mirabito from Ross Engineering was present at the hearing. Last meeting a structural plan and Brad Holmes' copy of a planting plan was submitted. Plantings go from the edge of wetlands to within 3' or 4' of the open deck area. Brad has 3 different types of plants, salt water tolerant. Ms. Scott-Pipes: believe this is what we asked for; thank you. Mr. Snow: any other orders on this property? No. Mr. Gallivan: a lot of trees have been removed; seemed like a whole row; several large ones. If someone recently cut them down within 5' of the marsh, that's a problem too. Also need to get erosion controls in when planting. Silt sock is shown on the revised plans; one along the planting area. Footings are in the same location. Come out 4' or 5' from there and start the plantings. Mr. Snow: how long have they owned it? Can request some trees be planted. How many trees were cut down? The stumps are there; there is no reason trees can't be added; put in Orders. Mr. Mirabito will work with the client. Motion to close the hearing Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Parys. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: Roche, 232 Central Ave. (enlarge deck)*

Applicant's representative requested a continuance. Motion to continue the hearing to September 16, 2013 at 6:40 p.m. Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: Goff, 19 Wood Island Road (raze/rebuild)*

Carmen Hudson from Cavanaro Consulting, Edward Goff and Heidi Condon, Architect were present at the hearing. Abutters' notification was submitted. This is a 48,115 sq. ft. lot with almost the entire property located within the buffer zone to the salt marsh and within Land Subject to Coastal Storm Flowage associated with flood zone AE elevation 10'. Structures are in the state of disrepair. There are concrete walkways, decks, sheds, patios and walkways throughout the site. Septic is in working condition. Gravel driveway is hard to maneuver. There is a lot of shade on the site; moss is growing over the paver patio. Proposing to raze and replace with a new dwelling, new configuration, but primarily over the existing footprint, with a screened porch and larger deck. Fix the garage, remove some of the trees, extend existing gravel driveway, remove paver patio, put in crushed shells, and redo the existing walkways. In the rear there is large area of ledge and it is difficult to reach the shed. Walkways will be reconfigured to provide access to the new structures. Right now elevation is 10', but if the maps are revised next year, elevation would be 13'. Would like to raise the foundation and house so they will be compliment with next year's flood maps. Mr. Schmid: Shed will remain? Want to fix what is there. What do the pink marks depict? Saltmarsh. How many square feet is being added to the footprint of the dwelling? Existing roof area is about 1,700 sq. ft., proposed is 2,460, approximately 760' more, but within the buffer, impervious materials are reduced. The impervious for the entire site is increased by 60 sq. ft. How many large trees are being removed? Approximately 11 to 12; 3 are really leaning; 3 are being removed from the buffer. Mr. Harding: piles or full foundation? Full foundation, but it will have openings. Top of foundation will be 1' above base flood elevation at 14'. Mr. Gallivan: removing the oil tank? Deck is on second story with oil tank under; installing an underground propane tank. Erosion controls? Yes, around entire foundation. Mr. Snow: Need real clarification of trees to be removed. They are labeled on the plan. Motion to close the hearing Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by

Wetlands Hearing: Farrington, 117 Turner Road (elevate)*

Paul Mirabito from Ross Engineering was present at the hearing. Abutters' notification was submitted. Northeast seawall was washed out a couple of years ago. Existing foundation will be cut and removed from the site, in its place there will be concrete piers. Existing foundation is 13' in front, 14' by the seawall; will be at 21.2' based on the elevation on preliminary maps. Dwelling will be picked up on cribbing and piers installed. Wood piles will be used for the deck in the future. Elevation certificate is submitted and new one after the work is done. Silt sock will be used. Top of piles will be at 21 5' above the top of the seawall. Mr. Schmid: need repairs to the seawall? Seawall work is done; finished this year. Mr. Snow: request from DPW is not to build right up to the seawall; work with DPW so that doesn't occur. Architectural plan shows deck right to the seawall. Really doesn't coincide with the structural plan. There are 4 piers between the house and seawall which are new. Architecturals might have been done when the house was built. Go by S1 plan as far as the deck is concerned, or have a removable portion. The deck can go no closer than 10'. Motion to close the hearing Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: Leabman, 2 Lighthouse Road (remove dwelling #2A & expand #2)

Michael Leabman was present at the hearing. Abutters' notification was submitted. Currently the property has 2 dwellings. The intent is to remove the dwelling closest to the street and construct an addition to the one near the water. Existing house is actually closer to the setbacks than the proposed house. The lot coverage is decreased by 165' sq. ft., deck area reduced by 80 sq. ft. Intend to use erosion controls. Most work is toward the street. Mr. Snow: structural plans or foundation plans? No. Intent is to have no basement. Existing house is on concrete and will continue with poured concrete. Whole site is in flood zone is AE elevation 11', existing 1st floor at 12.6'. Did you look into the new maps? No. Mr. Gallivan: should talk to Neil Duggan. Street elevation is lower about 9'. Dropping the garage to 10.25'. Mr. Snow: does the proposed foundation exceed 50%? No. Dwelling is above flood level. Mr. Gallivan: could require a revised plan if building does. Could put some plantings on the western side of the property. There is an overall reduction in impervious surface. What is the driveway material? Asphalt. There is room on both sides of the house for plantings. Concrete porch will be removed. Motion to close the hearing Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Parys. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: Adams, 59 Townsend (septic)

Greg Morse, Morse engineering was present at the hearing. Abutters' notification was submitted. In the back of the site there is BVW shown in blue, 50' buffer zone red, and 100' in green. Proposing new septic, plumbing will be redesigned, tank, pump chamber, leaching in front. All work outside the 50' buffer except removal of the cesspool. Down gradient proposing erosion controls. Mr. Gallivan: what was the difference between the original and the revised plans? Assumed data on the first plan. A hoop system allows reduction to groundwater or 50%

Minutes September 5, 2013 Page 4 of 5

reduction of the leaching system. No Board of Health approval. Motion to close - no Board of Health. If we don't close we can have the orders ready next meeting. Motion to continue to September 16, 2013 Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: Daly, 161 Turner Road (elevate)

Michael Biviano and Peter Falk from Rivermoor Engineering were present at the hearing. Elevation is 13.54' with a 14' seawall. Dwelling will be in a Velocity Zone elevation 19', bringing it up to 21'; 20' to the lowest structural member. Utilities will go up to 23.1'. Structural plan shows pilings going out to the seawall. DPW has asked to have decks pulled back from the seawall. Peter Falk: When they went to the site the 12" foundation walls are totally reinforced with rebar in both directions. Instead of demolishing, plan on using that structure and putting piers on top. Can use that and do cutouts, less impacts, but do need to buttress it. Mr. Snow: anything for less disturbance is good with us, it's great. If Neil doesn't have any problems, we should be all set. Pull deck back; extend a bridge. Motion to continue the hearing to September 16, 2013 at 7:50 Ms. Scott-Pipes. Motion to postpone the hearing until September 16, 2013 at 7:50 p.m. Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: Sandonato, 0 Wood Island Road (vista pruning)*

Paul Shea was present at the hearing. Abutters' notification was submitted. Existing house about 4 years old. Mr. Sandonato would like to do some vista pruning and clearing. The property is owned by the Town of Scituate. Northern property line is at the 50' from the BVW that comes onto his property. Would like views of the coastal wetlands. Actually the BVW has an intermittent stream that drains down Wood Island Road. Showed photos of where he would like to prune. Green line on the plan is the BVW on the town-owned property; 50' extends toward Sandonato's house and does not own the land to the west. For mitigation he is willing to remove climbing vines on the trees on town property. Not touching the tree canopy at all. Basically just cutting the lower one-third of the trees down to the ground; selective clearing. Would come up Wood Island Road and down Indian Trail and remove vines also; would open views up for everybody. There is very little work in the actual wetland. Mr. Schmid: How do we define of how much you are going to remove? No removal of trees. Mr. Sandonato wants Mr. Shea on site and Pat will make a site visit before work starts. Mr. Parys: just clear the vines first. Mr. Shea doesn't want errors. All the work is on the town property. Maybe a little work in the 50' buffer toward his property. Mr. Gallivan: any equipment? No, maybe long handled saws. Sounds like work will be done without impact. Mr. Snow: don't see any harm to the environment. Don't think we have to go any closer than the wetland edge line. Motion to close the hearing Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Schmid. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Order of Conditions: Town of Scituate/TA, 208 Front Street (repair town pier)

Motion to condition the project Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Parys. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Order of Conditions: Sheehan, 15 Seagate Circle (elevate)

Motion to condition the project with the addition of erosion controls Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Parys. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Order of Conditions: Farrington, 117 Turner Road (elevate)

Motion to condition the project with deck 10' back from seawall, and a removal bridge to the seawall Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Parys. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Order of Conditions: Ayers, 64 Moorland Road (upper level deck & 1st floor addition)

Motion to condition the project with addition of 6 trees Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Parys. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Mr. Yeh: receive anything from Grady or Petrocelli? No, called and said he'd have something in last week. Issue a cease and desist if we don't see him by the 16th.

87 Maple: Atty. Jim Toomey wants to look at the site. Offered to remove all the asphalt, told him not to do anything yet.

Tickets: Must be 21 days since Gardiner and 101 Ann Vinal tickets were issued.

Lot 57 Crescent Ave. water going to abutters.

Lot 31A opposite 242 Central – hasn't done anything – need a violation letter.

140 River: Brandon Moss was contacted by Atty. Chip Nylen. We may have to redo the conditions and pull out the Chapter 91 condition. Ask Toomey if it can be removed; find out legally how it is to be done. Also will put an order in to remove the wall.

Minutes: July 8, 2013

Motion to accept the minutes of July 8, 2013 Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Tufts. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

CORRESPONDENCE August 20, 2013 – September 5, 2013

- 1. Planning Board Agenda for Thursday, August 22
- 2. Request for a CofC for Lot 5, 12 Evangeline Drive 68-2303 (77 Elm Street subdivision)
- 3. Article from the Boston Globe re: flooding Cambridge Alewife Brook
- 4. Associated Press article Task Force: Coasts should prepare for rising seas
- 5. Recording of Amended OofC for 68-2439 Nashen, 272 Central Ave. (in file)
- $6. \ \ Planning\ Board\ Notice\ of\ Public\ Hearing-9/12/13\ at\ 7:30\ p.m.\ 214\ Thomas\ Clapp\ Road\ (in\ file)$
- 7. Division of Fisheries & Wildlife/Natural Heritage & Endangered Species Program re: 214 Clapp Road (in file)
- 8. Notification to abutters re: Goff, 19 Wood Island Road (in file)
- 9. DEP File #68-2477 Whittle, 22 Indian Trail (in file)

- 10. DEP File #68-2478 Sheehan, 15 Seagate Circle (in file)
- 11. Letter to Selectmen from Peter Torode re: formal appeal the preliminary flood map
- 12. Proposed Mitigation Plan for 64 Moorland Road (in file)
- 13. Sheehan foundation plans from Rivermoor Engineering S1.0 & S2.0 2 copies (in file)
- 14. Notification to abutters re: Wood Island Road Vista Pruning (in file)
- 15. DMF News Newsletter
- 16. Recording of CofC for 68-2286 Burke, 161 Summer Street (in file)
- 17. Request for CofC for 68-2040 14 Brunswick Street (in file)
- 18. Minor Activities Permit #10 6 Gannett Pasture Lane fence
- 19. Planning Board re: Stormwater Permit 141 (26B) Branch Street Comments by 9/4. Hearing 9/9/13.
- 20. Plans re: 68-2473 Clark, Central Ave. (in file)
- 21. ISO Information CRS (to Pat)
- 22. Recording of CofC for 68-2415 McCarthy, 109 Humarock Beach Road (in file)
- 23. Request for CofC 68-2222 Grable, 43 Oceanside Drive (in file)
- 24. Received 9/4/13 Revised plans for Sheehan, 15 Seagate Circle (in file)
- 25. DEP File #68-2479 Farrington, 117 Turner Road (in file)
- 26. Letter re: Ayers, 28 Otis / 32 Gardiner Atty. Humphreys Demand to Town to cease and desist from trespassing on the land at 32 Gardiner by removing drainage swale and restore area to former condition. (in file)
- 27. Revised plans for 59 Townsend Road (in file)
- 28. Minor Activities Permit #11 60 Oceanside Drive (cobble back on beach)
- 29. Zoning Board Request for Special Permit for 310 Country Way hearing 9/19/13 appreciate COMMENTS
- 30. Zoning Board Request for Special Permit for 428 First Parish Road hearing 9/19/13 appreciate COMMENTS
- 31. Zoning Board Request for Variance for 529 & 531 Country Way hearing 9/19/13 appreciate COMMENTS
- 32. DEP File #68-2480 Goff, 19 Wood Island Road (in file)
- 33. DEP File #68-2481 Leabman Real Estate, 2 Lighthouse Road (in file)
- 34. DEP File #68-2482 Daly, 161 Turner Road (in file)
- 35. DEP File #68-2484 Adams/Tyner Trust, 59 Townsend (in file)
- 36. Possible violations 6 Clapp Road (dumping brush) and 111 Summer (filling and bobcat work)
- 37. The Beacon
- 38. Northern Woodlands Magazine
- 39. Request for CofC Walsh, 107 Border Street no engineer's verification, no as-built, have check (in file)
- 40. DPW Al Bangert re: Ocean Ave Lot 8-7-19 Reviewed proposed drainage improvements concur with improvements (in file)

Meeting adjourned 9:30 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Carol Logue, Secretary